LEGAL02/32545663v2

DECLARATION OF CARI K. DAWSON IN SUPPORT OF TOYOTA'S RESPONSE TO CERTAIN ECONOMIC LOSS PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR THE APPLICATION OF CALIFORNIA LAW

Case 8:10-ml-02151-JVS -FMO Document 1204 Filed 04/01/11 Page 1 of 24 Page ID

DECLARATION OF CARI K. DAWSON

I, Cari K. Dawson, declare and state as follows:

8.

- 1. I am an attorney duly admitted to practice in this Court pro hac vice and am a partner with the law firm of Alston & Bird, LLP, counsel of record for the Toyota defendants. I am co-lead defense counsel for the economic loss class actions in this litigation. I make this declaration in support of (1) Toyota's Response to Certain Economic Loss Plaintiffs' Motion for the Application of California Law and
- (2) Toyota's Cross-Motion for Choice-of-Law Determination as to All Economic Loss Cases and Plaintiffs Before this Court. I am familiar with the files and records in this action and of the facts set forth in this declaration. If called as a witness, I could and would testify competently to such facts.
- 2. Attached hereto as **Exhibit A** is a true and correct copy of a chart detailing facts for the Non-California Plaintiffs prepared to illustrate that those Plaintiffs who do not live in California do not have the requisite contacts with California to justify having California law apply to their claims.
- 3. Attached hereto as **Exhibit B** is a true and correct copy of a chart prepared to assist the Court in conducting a choice of law analysis under the choice-of-law rules for all of the transferor courts and by summarizing those rules.
- 4. Attached hereto as **Exhibit C** is a true and correct copy of a chart comparing Plaintiffs' allegations to the actual evidence in the record from Plaintiffs' Fact Sheet responses, stipulations, and deposition testimony. This chart has been prepared to illustrate that the evidence obtained contradicts the factual allegations that Plaintiffs' rely upon in support of their motion for the application of California law.
- 5. Attached hereto as **Exhibit D** is a true and correct copy of a chart prepared to assist the Court in identifying state law variations relating to whether a manifestation of defect is required to recover on Plaintiffs' claims.

10 11

12

13 14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21 22

23

24

25

26 27

- Attached hereto as Exhibit E is a true and correct copy of a chart prepared to assist the Court in identifying state law variations in consumer protection laws.
- Attached hereto as Exhibit F is a true and correct copy of a chart 7. prepared to assist the Court in identifying state law variations in express warranty laws.
- 8. Attached hereto as Exhibit G is a true and correct copy of a chart prepared to assist the Court in identifying state law variations in implied warranty laws.
- Attached hereto as Exhibit H is a true and correct copy of a chart 9. prepared to assist the Court in identifying state law variations in common law fraud.
- Attached hereto as Exhibit I is a true and correct copy of a chart 10. prepared to assist the Court in identifying state law variations in unjust enrichment laws.
- Attached hereto as Exhibit J is a true and correct copy of a chart 11. prepared to assist the Court in identifying state law variations in the availability of common law warranty and contract claims.
- 12. The deposition of Ernest Bastien was conducted on September 9, 2010. Attached hereto as Exhibit K is a true and correct copy of the certified transcript and exhibits for Mr. Bastien's deposition.
- The deposition of Dionne Colvin was conducted on September 17, 2010. 13. Attached hereto as Exhibit L is a true and correct copy of the certified transcript and exhibits for Ms. Colvin's deposition.
- 14. The deposition of Steve Curtis was conducted on October 26, 2010. Attached hereto as Exhibit M is a true and correct copy of the certified transcript and exhibits for Mr. Curtis' deposition.

24.25.

- 15. The deposition of Masanori Hirose was conducted on October 7 and 8, 2010. Attached hereto as **Exhibit N.1** is a true and correct copy of the certified transcript and exhibits for Mr. Hirose's October 7, 2010 deposition. Attached hereto as **Exhibit N.2** is a true and correct copy of the certified transcript and exhibits for Mr. Hirose' October 8, 2010 deposition.
- 16. The deposition of Hijame Kitamura was conducted on November 4 and 5 2010. Attached hereto as **Exhibit O.1** is a true and correct copy of the certified transcript and exhibits for Mr. Kitamura's November 4, 2010 deposition. Attached hereto as **Exhibit O.2** is a true and correct copy of the certified transcript and exhibits for Mr. Kitamura's November 5, 2010 deposition.
- 17. The deposition of Robert Landis was conducted on August 30, 2010. Attached hereto as **Exhibit P** is a true and correct copy of the certified transcript and exhibits for Mr. Landis' deposition.
- 18. The deposition of John Lang was conducted on September 28, 2010. Attached hereto as **Exhibit Q** is a true and correct copy of the certified transcript and exhibits for Mr. Lang's deposition.
- 19. The deposition of Tsutomo Miyazaki was conducted on October 21 and 22, 2010. Attached hereto as **Exhibit R.1** is a true and correct copy of the certified transcript and exhibits for Mr. Miyazaki's October 21, 2010 deposition. Attached hereto as **Exhibit R.2** is a true and correct copy of the certified transcript and exhibits for Mr. Miyazaki's October 22, 2010 deposition.
- 20. The deposition of Takashi Nakanishi was conducted on October 21 and 22, 2010. Attached hereto as **Exhibit S.1** is a true and correct copy of the certified transcript and exhibits for Mr. Nakanishi's October 21, 2010 deposition. Attached hereto as **Exhibit S.2** is a true and correct copy of the certified transcript and exhibits for Mr. Nakanishi's October 22, 2010 deposition.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

- The deposition of Kevin Ro was conducted on October 15, 2010. 21. Attached hereto as Exhibit T is a true and correct copy of the certified transcript and exhibits for Mr. Ro's deposition.
- 22. The deposition of Kojiro Tanaka was conducted on September 23, 2010. Attached hereto as Exhibit U is a true and correct copy of the certified transcript and exhibits for Mr. Tanaka's deposition.
- 23. The deposition of Robert Waltz was conducted on September 10, 2010. Attached hereto as Exhibit V is a true and correct copy of the certified transcript and exhibits for Mr. Waltz's deposition.
- 24. The deposition of Robert Young was conducted on October 25, 2010. Attached hereto as Exhibit W is a true and correct copy of the certified transcript and exhibits for Mr. Young's deposition.
- The deposition of Lucy Barker was conducted on February 26, 2011. 25. Attached hereto as Exhibit X is a true and correct copy of the certified transcript and exhibits for Ms. Barker's deposition.
- 26. The deposition of Wanda Bosse was conducted on February 23, 2011. Attached hereto as Exhibit Y is a true and correct copy of the certified transcript and exhibits for Ms. Bosse's deposition.
- The deposition of Alexander Farrugia was conducted on February 17 and 27. 24, 2011. Attached hereto as Exhibit Z.1 is a true and correct copy of the certified transcript and exhibits for Mr. Farrugia's February 17, 2011 deposition. Attached hereto as Exhibit Z.2 is a true and correct copy of the certified transcript and exhibits for Mr. Farrugia's February 24, 2011 deposition.
- 28. The deposition of Carole Fisher was conducted on February 25, 2011. Attached hereto as Exhibit AA is a true and correct copy of the certified transcript and exhibits for Ms. Fisher's deposition.

27

- 3
- 4
- 6
- 8
- 10
- 11 12
- 13
- 14
- 45 16
- 17
- 18
- 19 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24 25
- 26
- 27
- 28

- 29. The deposition of Connie Kamphaus was conducted on February 21, 2011. Attached hereto as Exhibit BB is a true and correct copy of the certified transcript and exhibits for Ms. Kamphaus' deposition.
- 30. The deposition of Patrick Mann was conducted on March 8, 2011. Attached hereto as Exhibit CC is a true and correct copy of the certified transcript and exhibits for Mr. Mann's deposition.
- The deposition of Alison Oliver was conducted on February 22, 2011. 31. Attached hereto as Exhibit DD is a true and correct copy of the certified transcript and exhibits for Ms. Oliver's deposition.
- 32. The deposition of Karen Pedigo was conducted on March 2, 2011. Attached hereto as Exhibit EE is a true and correct copy of the certified transcript and exhibits for Ms. Pedigo's deposition.
- The deposition of Georgeann Whelan was conducted on February 23, 2011. Attached hereto as Exhibit FF is a true and correct copy of the certified transcript and exhibits for Mr. Whelan's deposition.
- The deposition of Carole Young was conducted on February 22, 2011. Attached hereto as Exhibit GG is a true and correct copy of the certified transcript and exhibits for Ms. Young's deposition.
- 35. The deposition of Dionne Colvin was conducted on February 22, 2011. Attached hereto as Exhibit HH is a true and correct copy of the certified transcript and exhibits for Ms. Colvin's deposition.
- The deposition of Barry Hare was conducted on February 23, 2011. 36. Attached hereto as Exhibit II.1 is a true and correct copy of the certified transcript and exhibits for Mr. Hare's deposition in his capacity as the F.R.C.P. 30(b)(6) designee on behalf Toyota Motor Corporation and Toyota Motor Sales. Attached hereto as Exhibit II.2 is a true and correct copy of the certified transcript and exhibits for Mr. Hare's deposition in his capacity as the F.R.C.P. 30(b)(6) designee on behalf

of Toyota Motor Corporation regarding Toyota Technical Center U.S.A., Inc.

- 37. The deposition of Doug Stevens was conducted on February 25, 2011. Attached hereto as **Exhibit JJ** is a true and correct copy of the certified transcript and exhibits for Mr. Stevens' deposition.
- 38. Attached hereto as **Exhibits KK.1** through **KK.14** are true and correct copies of Toyota's written discovery responses for Toyota's Response to Certain Economic Loss Plaintiffs' Motion for the Application of California Law.
 - a. Attached hereto as **Exhibit KK.1** is a true and correct copy of Toyota Motor Corporation's Responses to Economic Loss Plaintiffs First Set of Interrogatories.
 - b. Attached hereto as **Exhibit KK.2** is a true and correct copy of Toyota Motor Corporation's Responses to Economic Loss Plaintiffs' First Set of Interrogatories Subject to the Stipulated Protective Order Dated July 16, 2010.
 - c. Attached hereto as **Exhibit KK.3** is a true and correct copy of Toyota Motor Sales, U.S.A., Inc.'s Responses to Economic Loss Plaintiffs' First Set of Interrogatories.
 - d. Attached hereto as **Exhibit KK.4** is a true and correct copy of Toyota Motor Sales, U.S.A., Inc.'s Responses to Economic Loss Plaintiffs' First Set of Interrogatories Subject to the Stipulated Protective Order Dated July 16, 2010.
 - e. Attached hereto as **Exhibit KK.5** is a true and correct copy of Toyota Motor Sales, U.S.A., Inc.'s First Supplemental Responses to Economic Loss Plaintiffs' First Set of Interrogatories Subject to the Stipulated Protective Order Dated July 16, 2010.
 - f. Attached hereto as Exhibit KK.6 is a true and correct copy of Toyota Motor Sales, U.S.A., Inc.'s Second Supplemental

1		Responses to Economic Loss Plaintiffs' First Set of Interrogatories.
2	g.	Attached hereto as Exhibit KK.7 is a true and correct copy of
3	4 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Toyota Motor Sales, U.S.A., Inc.'s Second Supplemental
4		Responses to Economic Loss Plaintiffs' First Set of Interrogatories
5		Subject to the Stipulated Protective Order Dated July 16, 2010.
6	h.	Attached hereto as Exhibit KK.8 is a true and correct copy of
7		Toyota Motor Sales, U.S.A., Inc.'s Third Supplemental and
8		Amended Responses to Economic Loss Plaintiffs' First Set of
9		Interrogatories Subject to the Stipulated Protective Order Dated
10		July 16, 2010.
11	i.	Attached hereto as Exhibit KK.9 is a true and correct copy of
12		Toyota Motor Corporation's Responses to Economic Loss
13		Plaintiffs' Second Set of Interrogatories.
14	j.	Attached hereto as Exhibit KK.10 is a true and correct copy of
15		Toyota Motor Sales, U.S.A., Inc.'s Responses to Economic Loss
16		Plaintiffs' Second Set of Interrogatories.
17	k.	Attached hereto as Exhibit KK.11 is a true and correct copy of
18		Defendant Toyota Motor Corporation's Responses to Economic
19		Loss Plaintiffs' Third Set of Interrogatories.
20	1.	Attached hereto as Exhibit KK.12 is a true and correct copy of
21		Defendant Toyota Motor Sales, U.S.A., Inc.'s Responses to
22		Economic Loss Plaintiffs' Third Set of Interrogatories.
23	m.	Attached hereto as Exhibit KK.13 is a true and correct copy of
24		Toyota Motor Corporation's Responses to Economic Loss
25		Plaintiffs' Second Set of Requests for Admission.
26	n.	Attached hereto as Exhibit KK.14 is a true and correct copy of
27	,	Toyota Motor Sales, U.S.A., Inc.'s Responses to Economic Loss
8		7
- 4		PROTEIN AD ATTON OF A STATE OF A

- 39. Attached hereto as Exhibits LL.1 through LL.76 are true and correct copies of stipulations related to choice of law for all Plaintiffs.
 - a. Attached hereto as **Exhibit LL.1** is a true and correct copy of stipulations related to choice of law for plaintiff Adam Aleszczyk.
 - b. Attached hereto as **Exhibit LL.2** is a true and correct copy of stipulations related to choice of law for plaintiff Kathleen Allen.
 - c. Attached hereto as **Exhibit LL.3** is a true and correct copy of stipulations related to choice of law for plaintiff Jude Anheluk.
 - d. Attached hereto as **Exhibit LL.4** is a true and correct copy of stipulations related to choice of law for plaintiff Kathleen Atwater
 - e. Attached hereto as **Exhibit LL.5** is a true and correct copy of stipulations related to choice of law for plaintiff Dale Baldisseri.
 - f. Attached hereto as **Exhibit LL.6** is a true and correct copy of stipulations related to choice of law for plaintiff Barker, Joel & Lucy
 - g. Attached hereto as **Exhibit LL.7** is a true and correct copy of stipulations related to choice of law for plaintiff Richard Benjamin.
 - h. Attached hereto as **Exhibit LL.8** is a true and correct copy of stipulations related to choice of law for plaintiff Albert & Wanda Bosse.
 - i. Attached hereto as **Exhibit LL.9** is a true and correct copy of stipulations related to choice of law for plaintiff Rich & Jan Bowling.
 - j. Attached hereto as **Exhibit LL.10** is a true and correct copy of stipulations related to choice of law for plaintiff Brandon Bowron.
 - k. Attached hereto as Exhibit LL.11 is a true and correct copy of

1		Doino.
2	x.	Attached hereto as Exhibit LL.24 is a true and correct copy of
3		stipulations related to choice of law for plaintiff Hal Farrington
4	у.	Attached hereto as Exhibit LL.25 is a true and correct copy of
5		stipulations related to choice of law for plaintiff Alexander
6		Farrugia.
7	z.	Attached hereto as Exhibit LL.26 is a true and correct copy of
8		stipulations related to choice of law for plaintiff Carole Fisher.
9	aa.	Attached hereto as Exhibit LL.27 is a true and correct copy of
10		stipulations related to choice of law for plaintiff Maureen
11		Fitzgerald.
12	bb.	Attached hereto as Exhibit LL.28 is a true and correct copy of
13.		stipulations related to choice of law for plaintiff Ann Fleming-
14		Weaver.
15	cc.	Attached hereto as Exhibit LL.29 is a true and correct copy of
16		stipulations related to choice of law for plaintiff John Flook.
17	dd.	Attached hereto as Exhibit LL.30 is a true and correct copy of
18		stipulations related to choice of law for plaintiff John Geddis.
19	ee.	Attached hereto as Exhibit LL.31 is a true and correct copy of
20		stipulations related to choice of law for plaintiff Susan Gonzalez.
21	ff.	Attached hereto as Exhibit LL.32 is a true and correct copy of
22		stipulations related to choice of law for plaintiff Donald Graham.
23	gg.	Attached hereto as Exhibit LL.33 is a true and correct copy of
24		stipulations related to choice of law for plaintiff Douglas Guilbert.
25	hh.	Attached hereto as Exhibit LL.34 is a true and correct copy of
26		stipulations related to choice of law for plaintiff Joseph Hauter.
27	ii.	Attached hereto as Exhibit LL.35 is a true and correct copy of
28		

	l I		
1			stipulations related to choice of law for plaintiff Matthew
2			Heidenreich.
3		jj.	Attached hereto as Exhibit LL.36 is a true and correct copy of
4			stipulations related to choice of law for plaintiff Jeremy Henson.
5		kk.	Attached hereto as Exhibit LL.37 is a true and correct copy of
6		٠	stipulations related to choice of law for plaintiff Barbara Jackson.
7)	11.	Attached hereto as Exhibit LL.38 is a true and correct copy of
8			stipulations related to choice of law for plaintiff Connie Kamphaus.
9		mm.	Attached hereto as Exhibit LL.39 is a true and correct copy of
10			stipulations related to choice of law for plaintiff Victoria and Barry
11		. •	Karlin.
12		nn.	Attached hereto as Exhibit LL.40 is a true and correct copy of
13			stipulations related to choice of law for plaintiff William and
14			Darlene Kleinfeldt.
15		00.	Attached hereto as Exhibit LL.41 is a true and correct copy of
16			stipulations related to choice of law for plaintiff Richard and Elise
17			Kuhner.
18		pp.	Attached hereto as Exhibit LL.42 is a true and correct copy of
19			stipulations related to choice of law for plaintiff John and Mary
20			Laidlaw.
21		qq.	Attached hereto as Exhibit LL.43 is a true and correct copy of
22			stipulations related to choice of law for plaintiff Christopher
23			Lenney.
24		rr.	Attached hereto as Exhibit LL.44 is a true and correct copy of
25			stipulations related to choice of law for plaintiff Monica Lowe.
26		SS.	Attached hereto as Exhibit LL.45 is a true and correct copy of
27			stipulations related to choice of law for plaintiff Aly and Lucinda
28			

1			Mahmoud.
2		tt.	Attached hereto as Exhibit LL.46 is a true and correct copy of
3			stipulations related to choice of law for plaintiff Priscilla Manarino-
4			Leggett.
5		uu.	Attached hereto as Exhibit LL.47 is a true and correct copy of
6			stipulations related to choice of law for plaintiff Patrick Mann.
7		vv.	Attached hereto as Exhibit LL.48 is a true and correct copy of
8			stipulations related to choice of law for plaintiff Steven McDaniel.
9		ww.	Attached hereto as Exhibit LL.49 is a true and correct copy of
10		٠	stipulations related to choice of law for plaintiff John Moscicki.
11		XX.	Attached hereto as Exhibit LL.50 is a true and correct copy of
12			stipulations related to choice of law for plaintiff Katherine
13			Musgrave.
14		уу.	Attached hereto as Exhibit LL.51 is a true and correct copy of
15			stipulations related to choice of law for plaintiff Robert Navarro.
16		ZZ.	Attached hereto as Exhibit LL.52 is a true and correct copy of
17			stipulations related to choice of law for plaintiff Lawrence Nelson.
18		aaa.	Attached hereto as Exhibit LL.53 is a true and correct copy of
19		-	stipulations related to choice of law for plaintiff Carl Nyquist.
20		bbb.	Attached hereto as Exhibit LL.54 is a true and correct copy of
21			stipulations related to choice of law for plaintiff Alyson Oliver.
22	·	ccc.	Attached hereto as Exhibit LL.55 is a true and correct copy of
23			stipulations related to choice of law for plaintiff Karen Pedigo.
24		ddd.	Attached hereto as Exhibit LL.56 is a true and correct copy of
25			stipulations related to choice of law for plaintiff Peggie Perkin.
26		eee.	Attached hereto as Exhibit LL.57 is a true and correct copy of
27			stipulations related to choice of law for plaintiff Roland Pippin.
28			

- fff. Attached hereto as **Exhibit LL.58** is a true and correct copy of stipulations related to choice of law for plaintiff Bianca and Steven Prade.
- ggg. Attached hereto as **Exhibit LL.59** is a true and correct copy of stipulations related to choice of law for plaintiff Thomas and Catherine Roe.
- hhh. Attached hereto as **Exhibit LL.60** is a true and correct copy of stipulations related to choice of law for plaintiff Randee Romaner
- iii. Attached hereto as **Exhibit LL.61** is a true and correct copy of stipulations related to choice of law for plaintiff Barbara Saunders.
- jjj. Attached hereto as **Exhibit LL.62** is a true and correct copy of stipulations related to choice of law for plaintiff Keith Sealing.
- kkk. Attached hereto as **Exhibit LL.63** is a true and correct copy of stipulations related to choice of law for plaintiff Nancy Seamons.
- Ill. Attached hereto as **Exhibit LL.64** is a true and correct copy of stipulations related to choice of law for plaintiff Jeanette and Tull Seymour.
- mmm. Attached hereto as **Exhibit LL.65** is a true and correct copy of stipulations related to choice of law for plaintiff Richard Swalm.
- nnn. Attached hereto as **Exhibit LL.66** is a true and correct copy of stipulations related to choice of law for plaintiff Linda Tang.
- ooo. Attached hereto as Exhibit LL.67 is a true and correct copy of stipulations related to choice of law for plaintiff Jane Taylor.
- ppp. Attached hereto as Exhibit LL.68 is a true and correct copy of stipulations related to choice of law for plaintiff Mary Ann Tucker.
- qqq. Attached hereto as **Exhibit LL.69** is a true and correct copy of stipulations related to choice of law for plaintiff Elizabeth Van Zyl.

Attached hereto as Exhibit LL.70 is a true and correct copy of

stipulations related to choice of law for plaintiff Frank Visconi.

1

2

rrr.

1	a.	Attached hereto as Exhibit NN.1 is a true and correct copy of
2		plaintiff Kathleen Allen's vehicle financing agreement (MDL2151-
3		ELP-ALLEN-000030; TMCC-MDL 00000020).
4	b.	Attached hereto as Exhibit NN.2 is a true and correct copy of
5		plaintiff Jude Anheluk's vehicle financing agreement (TMCC-
6.		MDL 0000045).
7	c.	Attached hereto as Exhibit NN.3 is a true and correct copy of
8		plaintiff Joel and Lucy Barkers' vehicle financing agreement
9		(TMCC-MDL 000092).
10	d.	Attached hereto as Exhibit NN.4 is a true and correct copy of
11		plaintiff Richard Benjamin's vehicle purchase agreement
12		(GAULT-BNJMN-00000019).
13	e.	Attached hereto as Exhibit NN.5 is a true and correct copy of
14		plaintiff Albert and Wanda Bosses' financing agreement for their
15		2006 Toyota Avalon (TMCC-MDL 0000291).
16	f.	Attached hereto as Exhibit NN.6 is a true and correct copy of
17		plaintiff Brandon Bowron's vehicle purchase agreement
18		(MCL2151-ELP-BOWRO-00005).
19	g.	Attached hereto as Exhibit NN.7 is a true and correct copy of
20		plaintiff Vanessa Bozeman's vehicle financing agreement (TMCC-
21		MDL 0000310).
22	h.	Attached hereto as Exhibit NN.8 is a true and correct copy of
23		plaintiff Ebony Brown's vehicle financing agreement (TMCC-
24	· .	MDL 0000337).
25	i.	Attached hereto as Exhibit NN.9 is a true and correct copy of
26	•	plaintiff Deshawna Carter's vehicle financing agreement (TMCC-
27		MDL 0000378).
28		

	I F		
1		j.	Attached hereto as Exhibit NN.10 is a true and correct copy of
2			plaintiff David and Arlene Caylors' vehicle financing agreement
3		٠	(MDL2151-ELP-CAYLO-00006).
4		k.	Attached hereto as Exhibit NN.11 is a true and correct copy of
5			plaintiff John Chant's vehicle purchase agreement (PUYLLP-
6		-	CHANT 00000093) and John Chant's vehicle financing agreement
7			(TMCC-MDL0000455).
8		1.	Attached hereto as Exhibit NN.12 is a true and correct copy of
9			plaintiff Demetra Christopher's vehicle lease agreement (GREEN-
10			DMTRA-00000037).
11		m.	Attached hereto as Exhibit NN.13 is a true and correct copy of
12			plaintiff Walter Crigler's vehicle financing agreement (TMCC-
13			MDL 000570).
14		n.	Attached hereto as Exhibit NN.14 is a true and correct copy of
15			plaintiff Rocco and Bridie Doinos' vehicle financing agreement
16		-	(TMCC-MDL0000650).
17		Ο.	Attached hereto as Exhibit NN.15 is a true and correct copy of
18		•	plaintiff Hal Farrugia's purchase agreement (PNSKE-FRRGA-
19		·	00000045).
20		p.	Attached hereto as Exhibit NN.16 is a true and correct copy of
21	·		plaintiff Carole Fisher's vehicle financing agreement (TMCC-
22			MDL 0000670).
23		q.	Attached hereto as Exhibit NN.17 is a true and correct copy of
24			plaintiff John Flook's vehicle financing agreement (TMCC-MDL
25			0000688).
26		r.	Attached hereto as Exhibit NN.18 is a true and correct copy of
27			plaintiff John Geddis' vehicle financing agreement (TMCC-MDL
28			

. 1		0000717).
2	s.	Attached hereto as Exhibit NN.19 is a true and correct copy of
3		plaintiff Susan Gonzalez's vehicle financing agreement (TMCC
4		MDL 000734).
5	t.	Attached hereto as Exhibit NN.20 is a true and correct copy or
6		plaintiff Donald Graham's vehicle sales contract (GOTSC
7		GRAHM-00000014).
8	u.	Attached hereto as Exhibit NN.21 is a true and correct copy or
9		plaintiff Douglas Guilbert's vehicle lease agreement (TMCC-MDI
10		000759).
11	v.	Attached hereto as Exhibit NN.22 is a true and correct copy of
12		plaintiff Matthew Heidenreich's vehicle lease agreement (JOAPT-
13		HNDR-00000006).
14	w.	Attached hereto as Exhibit NN.23 is a true and correct copy of
15		plaintiff Connie Kamphaus' vehicle lease agreement (PRMFC-
16		KMPHS 00000108).
17	x.	Attached hereto as Exhibit NN.24 is a true and correct copy of
18		plaintiff William and Darlene Kleinfeldts' vehicle financing
19		agreement (TMCC-MDL 0000925).
20	y.	Attached hereto as Exhibit NN.25 is a true and correct copy of
21	·	plaintiff John and Mary Laidlaws' vehicle purchase agreement
22		(MDL2151-ELP-LAIDLW-000025) and vehicle financing
23		agreement (TMCC-MDL 000976).
24	Z.	Attached hereto as Exhibit NN.26 is a true and correct copy of
25		plaintiff Christopher Lenney's vehicle financing agreement
26		(WOBRN-LENNY-00000046).
27		
		·

- aa. Attached hereto as **Exhibit NN.27** is a true and correct copy of plaintiff Monica Lowe's vehicle buyer's order (KOONT-LOWEM-00000002).
- bb. Attached hereto as **Exhibit NN.28** is a true and correct copy of plaintiff Priscilla Manarino-Leggett's vehicle buyer's order (PTRSN-LGTMN-00000033).
- cc. Attached hereto as **Exhibit NN.29** is a true and correct copy of plaintiff Patrick Mann's vehicle financing agreement (TMCC-MDL 0000998).
- dd. Attached hereto as **Exhibit NN.30** is a true and correct copy of plaintiff Steven McDaniel's vehicle lease agreement (TMCC-MDL 00001857).
- ee. Attached hereto as **Exhibit NN.31** is a true and correct copy of plaintiff Robert Navarro's vehicle purchase agreement (WHITE-NAVRO-00000017-18).
- ff. Attached hereto as **Exhibit NN.32** is a true and correct copy of plaintiff Alyson Oliver's vehicle purchase agreement (WTRFD-OLVER-00000046).
- gg. Attached hereto as **Exhibit NN.33** is a true and correct copy of plaintiff Karen Pedigo's vehicle financing agreement (TMCC-MDL 0002026).
- hh. Attached hereto as **Exhibit NN.34** is a true and correct copy of plaintiff Roland Pippin's vehicle financing agreement (TMCC-MDL 0002057).
- ii. Attached hereto as **Exhibit NN.35** is a true and correct copy of plaintiff Bianco and Steven Prades' vehicle purchase agreement (KOONS-STVEN-00000024).

	* ·		
1		jj.	Attached hereto as Exhibit NN.36 is a true and correct copy of
2			plaintiff Sandra Reech's Pennsylvania Motor Vehicle Installment
3			Sales Contract (GRENB-REECH-00000005).
4		kk.	Attached hereto as Exhibit NN.37 is a true and correct copy of
5			plaintiff Randee Romaner's TMCC Lease Agreement (MDL2151-
6			ELP-ROMAN-00005).
7		II.	Attached hereto as Exhibit NN.38 is a true and correct copy of
8			plaintiff Barbara Saunders' vehicle financing agreement (TMCC-
9			MDL 0002203).
10		mm.	Attached hereto as Exhibit NN.39 is a true and correct copy of
11			plaintiff Keith Sealings' vehicle lease agreement (OXMOR-SLNG-
12			00000012).
13		nn.	Attached hereto as Exhibit NN.40 is a true and correct copy of
14			plaintiff Richard Swalm's vehicle lease agreement (MDL2151-
15			ELP-SWALM-000022, 26).
16		00.	Attached hereto as Exhibit NN.41 is a true and correct copy of
17			plaintiff Elizabeth Van Zyl's vehicle lease agreement (MDL2151-
18			ELP-VANZY-000016) and buyer's order contains (MDL2151-
19			ELP-VANZY-000021).
20		pp.	Attached hereto as Exhibit NN.42 is a true and correct copy of
21			plaintiff Frank Visconi's vehicle financing agreement (TMCC-
22			MDL 0002378).
23		qq.	Attached hereto as Exhibit NN.43 is a true and correct copy of
24			plaintiff Georgeann Whelan's purchase agreement (MDL2151-
25			ELP-WHELA-000087).
26		rr.	Attached hereto as Exhibit NN.44 is a true and correct copy of
27			plaintiff Ted Wedul's vehicle financing agreement (TMCC-MDL
28			

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that foregoing is true and correct. Executed this 31st day of March, 2011 at Atlanta, Georgia.

Cari K. Dawson

ri Ka Jaceron

Case 8:10-ml-02151-JVS -FMO Document 1204 Filed 04/01/11 Page 23 of 24 Page ID #:39374

PROOF OF SERVICE

I, Laura Olagues, declare:

I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action. My business address is c/o Alston & Bird LLP, 333 South Hope Street, Sixteenth Floor, Los Angeles, California 90071. I am over the age of eighteen years and not a party to the action in which this service is made.

On April 1, 2011, I served the document(s) described as DECLARATION OF CARI K. DAWSON IN SUPPORT OF (1) TOYOTA'S RESPONSE TO CERTAIN ECONOMIC LOSS PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR THE APPLICATION OF CALIFORNIA LAW AND (2) TOYOTA'S CROSS-MOTION FOR CHOICE-OF-LAW DETERMINATION AS TO ALL ECONOMIC LOSS CASES AND PLAINTIFFS BEFORE THIS COURT on the interested parties in this action by enclosing the document(s) in a sealed envelope addressed as follows:

SEE ATTACHED SERVICE LIST

BY UPS NEXT DAY AIR I deposited such envelope in a facility regularly maintained by UPS with delivery fees fully provided for or delivered the envelope to a courier or driver UPS authorized to receive documents at Alston & Bird LLP, 333 South Hope Street, Los Angeles, California 90071 with delivery fees fully provided for.

BY E-MAIL: I caused such documents to be delivered via electronic transmission to the offices of the addressee(s) at the e-mail listed in the court's electronic filing system.

X [Federal] I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on April 1, 2011, at Los Angeles, California.

Raura Olagues
Laura Olagues

United States District Court 1 Central District of California In Re: Toyota Motor Corp. Unintended Acceleration Marketing, Sales Practices, And Products Liability Litigation 2 Case No.: 8:10ML2151 JVS (FMOx) 3 SERVICE LIST 4 STEVE W. BERMAN Co-Lead Plaintiffs' Counsel for Economic 5 Email: steve@hbsslaw.com HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL Loss Cases 6 SHAPIRO LLP 1918 Eighth Avenue, Suite 3300 Seattle, WA 98101 Telephone: (206) 268-9320 Facsimile: (206) 623-0594 7 8 9 MARC M. SELTZER Co-Lead Plaintiffs' Counsel for Economic Email: mseltzer@susmangodfrey.com Loss Cases 10 SUSMAN GODFREY L.L.P. 1901 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 950 Los Angeles, CA 90067 Telephone: (310) 789-3102 Facsimile: (310) 789-3006 11 12 13 FRANK M. PITRE Co-Lead Plaintiffs' Counsel for Economic Email: fpitre@cpmlegal.com Loss Cases 14 COTCHETT, PITRE & MCCARTHY 15 840 Malcolm Road, Suite 200 Burlingame, CA 94010 16 Telephone: (650) 697-6000 Facsimile: (650) 697-0577 17 ELIZABETH J. CABRASER Co-Lead Plaintiffs' Counsel for Personal 18 Email: ecabraser@lchb.com LIEFF CABRASER HEIMANN Injury/Wrongful Death Cases 19 & BERNSTEIN, LLP 275 Battery Street, Suite 3000 San Francisco, CA 94111 Telephone: (415) 956-1000 Facsimile: (415) 956-1008 20 21 22 MARK P. ROBINSON, JR. Co-Lead Plaintiffs' Counsel for Personal Email: beachlawyer51@hotmail.com Injury/Wrongful Death Cases 23 ROBINSON, CALCAGNIE & ROBINSON INC. 24 620 Newport Center Drive, 7th Floor Newport Beach, CA 92660 Telephone: (949) 720-1288 Facsimile: (949) 720-1292 25 26 27 28